

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO. Box 1450 Alexandrio, Vignia 22313-1450

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/523,809	03/13/2000	Michael P. Murphy	686.03.498CON	6553
7	590 09/30/2003			
Hollie L Bake			EXAMI	NER
Hale and Dorr : 60 State Street			KAUSHAL, SUMESH	
Boston, MA 0	2109		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(Å)	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/523,809	MURPHY ET AL.					
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sumesh Kaushal Ph.D.	1636					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 15 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to swold abandonment of this application. A practice proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Test Sorting of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of thee. The appropriate extension							
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(s) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, it forekeeded, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>15 August 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) \(\sumeq\) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ing a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	s.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
i. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>15-18 and 28-30</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:							
	JEF PRI	FFREY FREDMAN IMARY EXAMINER					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment of claims 15 and 16 to recite claim limitation "first layer of cultured fibroblasts cells which produce a layer of extracellular matrix" would require further consideration under 35 USC 112(1) regarding enablement issues. The scope of invention as claimed encompasses fibroblasts, which endogenously produces extracellular matrix comprising: (1) Fibrillar collagen (type I and type II) showing a packing organization of fibrils and fibril bundles exhibiting a quarter-staggered 67 nm banding pattern, (ii) decorning ili) glycosminoglycans, (iii) fibronectin, (iv) tenascin and (v) glycosminoglycans, (iii) he specification as filed fails to disclose the synthesi of above mentioned extracellular components by cultured fibroblasts, wherein the fibroblasts has not been genetically modified to produce the extracellular matrix components.